

First Order Logic (3A)

Copyright (c) 2013 - 2015 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using LibreOffice/OpenOffice.

Alphabet of First-Order Logic

1. Constants : Socrates, John
2. Predicates : True, False, and married, love
3. Functions : mother, weight
4. Variables : a lower case letter x, y, z
5. Operators : \neg , \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow
6. Quantifiers : \forall , \exists
7. Grouping Symbols : (), comma

Non-logical Symbols

1. Constants : Socrates, John
2. Predicates : True, False, and married, love
3. Functions : mother, weight

Traditional philosophy / logic assumes

The existence of a fixed, infinite set of non-logical symbols

Only one language of first-order logic

AI application specifies non-logical symbols that are appropriate to the application (signature)

Rules of Propositional Logic

1. a term

- (a) a constant symbol
- (b) a variable symbol
- (c) a function symbol (comma separated terms)

2. a atomic formula

- (a) a predicate symbol
- (b) a predicate symbol (comma separated terms)
- (c) two terms separated by =

3. a formula

- (a) an atomic formula
- (b) \neg formula
- (c) two formula separated by \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow
- (d) $\{\forall \text{ or } \exists\}$ {variable} {formula}

4. a sentence : a formula without free variables

Semantics of Propositional Logic

A signature determines the language

Given a language, a model consists of

1. A nonempty set D of entities : a domain of discourse
2. An interpretation that consists of
 - (a) an entity in $D \rightarrow$ each of the constant symbols
Usually every entity is assigned
 - (b) for each function, an entity \rightarrow each possible input
 - (c) the predicate true \leftarrow the value T
the predicate false \leftarrow the value F
 - (d) for every other predicate,
the value T or F \rightarrow each possible input of the
entities to the predicate

The truth values of all sentences

1. $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ in the same way in propositional logic
2. two terms separated by $=$ symbol has T if both terms Refer to the same entity
3. $\forall x p(x)$ has the value T if $p(x)$ has value T for every assignment to x of an entity in D
4. $\exists x p(x)$ has the value T if $p(x)$ has value T for at least one assignment to x of an entity in D
5. the operator precedence $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
6. the quantifiers precedes the operators
7. $()$ changes the precedence

Satisfied

If sentence s has T under interpretation I ,
 I satisfies s

A sentence is satisfiable if there is some interpretation
under which it has T

A formula that contains free variables and therefore not
sentence, then an interpretation alone does not determine
its truth value

A formula that contains free variables is
satisfied by any interpretation that assigns T to the formula
for every individual of its free variables in D

Valid

A formula is valid

If it is satisfied by every interpretation

A formula is contradict

If there is no interpretation that satisfies it

Given tow formulas A and B

If $A \rightarrow B$ is valid

A logically implies B

Given tow formulas A and B

If $A \leftrightarrow B$ is valid

A logically equivalent to B

Logical Arguments

An argument consists of

A set of formulas (premises) and

A formula (conclusion)

The premises entail the conclusion

If in every model in which all the premises are true,

the conclusion is also true

The argument is sound:

If the premises entail the conclusion

Otherwise, the argument is a fallacy

Universal Instantiation

Universal Generalization

Existential Generalization

Existential Instantiation

Modus Ponens

Unification

Generalized Modus Ponens

References

- [1] en.wikipedia.org
- [2] en.wiktionary.org
- [3] U. Endriss, “Lecture Notes : Introduction to Prolog Programming”
- [4] <http://www.learnprolognow.org/> Learn Prolog Now!
- [5] http://www.csupomona.edu/~jrfisher/www/prolog_tutorial
- [6] www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/cs9414/notes/prolog/intro.html
- [7] www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/dictionaries/prolog/negation.html
- [8] <http://ilppp.cs.lth.se/>, P. Nugues, ` An Intro to Lang Processing with Perl and Prolog
- [9] Contemporary Artificial Intelligence, Neapolitan & Jia
- [10] Discrete Mathematics, Johnsonbaugh